Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/875,494	CHEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David A. Lambertson	1636
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>David A. Lambertson</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Kristen Walker</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 October 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	int's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted concerning an amendment to the Abstract to remove the term "Novel" from the first and third lines. Applicant was informed that the amendment was necessary because it was presumed that any patent issuing refelcts a novel invention, therefore a recitation of the language "novel" was considered inappropriate.